EXHIBIT PP

2 3 4 5 6 7	Scott R. Mosko (State Bar No. 106070) FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER, L.L.P. Stanford Research Park 700 Hansen Way Palo Alto, California 94304 Telephone: (650) 849-6600 Facsimile: (650) 849-6666 Attorneys for Defendants Connectu LLC, Cameron Winklevoss, Tyler Winklevoss, Howard Winklevoss, and Divya Narendra	
8		
9	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
11	COUNTY OF SA	
12		
13	THE FACEBOOK, INC.	CASE NO. 105 CV 047381
14	Plaintiff,	RESPONSE OF DEFENDANT TYLER WINKLEVOSS TO FORM
15	v.	INTERROGATORIES
16	CONNECTU LLC, CAMERON WINKLEVOSS,	
17	TYLER WINKLEVOSS, HOWARD WINKLEVOSS, DIVYA NARENDRA, AND DOES 1-25,	
18	Defendants.	
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26 27		
28		
20		RESPONSE OF DEFENDANT TYLER WINKLEYOSS TO FORM
	Doc. No. 430337	INTERROGATORIES

PROPOUNDING PARTY: Plaintiff THEFACEBOOK, INC.

RESPONDING PARTY: Defendant TYLER WINKLEVOSS

SET NO.: ONE (1)

TO PLAINTIFF AND ITS ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:

The above-named party hereby responds, pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure Section 2030, to the form interrogatories as follows:

PREFATORY STATEMENT

It should be noted that this responding party has not fully completed its investigation of the facts relating to this case, has not completed discovery, and has not completed its preparation for trial.

All of the responses contained herein are based only upon such information and documents as are presently available to and specifically known to this responding party and disclose only those contentions which presently occur to such responding party.

It is anticipated that further discovery, independent investigation, legal research, and analysis will supply additional facts and add meaning to known facts, as well as establish entirely new factual conclusions and legal contentions, all of which may lead to substantial additions to, changes in, and variations from the contentions herein set forth.

The following responses are given without prejudice to responding party's right to produce evidence of any subsequently discovery fact or facts which this responding party may later recall. Responding party accordingly reserves the right to change any and all answers herein as additional facts are ascertained, analyses are made, legal research is completed, and contentions are made. The responses contained herein are made in a good faith effort to supply as much factual information and as much specification of legal contentions as are presently known, but should in no way be to the prejudice of this responding party in relation to further discovery, research, or analysis.

RESPONSE TO FORM INTERROGATORIES

Response to 1.1

I was the only person who prepared the responses to these interrogatories.

1	Response to 2.1
2	Tyler Winklevoss
3	Response to 2.2
4	Southampton, NY
5	Response to 2.3
6	Not applicable as there was no "unauthorized access of the Facebook's data."
7	Response to 2.4
8	Not applicable as there was no "unauthorized access of the Facebook's data."
9	Response to 2.5
10	(a) 100 Landsdowne Street, Apt. 1405 Cambridge, MA 02139
11	and/or
12	10 Khakum Wood Road
13	Greenwich, CT 06831
14	(b) and (c)
15 16	In or about 2003 through in or about 2005: 8 Museum Way, Apt. 2406 Cambridge, MA 02141 2002 - 2004
17	or
18	Pforzheimer House
19	56 Linnean Street Cambridge, MA 02141
20	or
21	10 Khakum Wood Road
22	Greenwich, CT 06831
23	In or about 2001 through in or about 2003: Pforzheimer House 56 Linnean Street
24	Cambridge, MA 02138
25	
26	
27	Response to 2.6
28	Not applicable as there was no "unauthorized access of the Facebook's data."
	RESPONSE OF DEFENDANT TYLER WINKLEVOSS TO FORM INTERROGATORIES

1	Response to 2.7
2	1996-2000: High School Diploma Brunswick School 100 Maher Avenue Greenwich, CT. 2000-2004: B.A. Economics Harvard University Cambridge, MA 02138
3	Response to 2.8
4	
5	No
6	Response to 2.11
	Not applicable as there was no "unauthorized access of the Facebook's data."
7	
8	Response to 2.12
9	Not applicable as there was no "unauthorized access of the Facebook's data."
10	Response to 3.1
11	
12	No
13	Response to 3.2
	No
14	Response to 3.3
15	No No
16	Response to 3.4
17	No
18	
19	Response to 3.5
20	No
21	Response to 3.6
	No
22	Response to 3.7
23	No
24	Response to 4.1
25	Not applicable as there was no "unauthorized access of the Facebook's data."
26	
27	Response to 4.2
28	Not applicable as there was no "unauthorized access of the raccook's data."

1	Response to 8.2	
2	Not applicable as there was no "unauthorized access of the Facebook's data."	
3	Response to 8.3	
4	Not applicable as there was no "unauthorized access of the Facebook's data."	
5	Response to 8.4	
6	Not applicable as there was no "unauthorized access of the Facebook's data."	
7	Response to 11.1	
8	Not applicable as there was no "unauthorized access of the Facebook's data."	
9	Response to 12.1	
10	Not applicable as there was no "unauthorized access of the Facebook's data."	
11	Response to 12.2	
12	Not applicable as there was no "unauthorized access of the Facebook's data."	
13	Response to 12.3	
14	Not applicable as there was no "unauthorized access of the Facebook's data."	
15	Response to 12.4	
16	Not applicable as there was no "unauthorized access of the Facebook's data."	
17	Response to 12.5	
18	Not applicable as there was no "unauthorized access of the Facebook's data."	
19	Response to 12.6	
20	Not applicable as there was no "unauthorized access of the Facebook's data."	
21	Response to 12.7	
22	Not applicable as there was no "unauthorized access of the Facebook's data."	
23	Response to 13.1	
24	Not applicable as there was no "unauthorized access of the Facebook's data."	
25	Response to 13.2	
26	Not applicable as there was no "unauthorized access of the Facebook's data."	
27	Response to 15.1	
28	No applicable. A demurrer and a motion to quash was filed.	

Response to 16.1 Not applicable as there was no "unauthorized access of the Facebook's data." See ConnectU's demurrer, filed on October 25, 2005.

Response to 16.2

Not applicable as there was no "unauthorized access of the Facebook's data." See ConnectU's demurrer, filed on October 25, 2005.

Response to 16.3

Not applicable as there was no "unauthorized access of the Facebook's data."

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

Response to 16.6

Not applicable as there was no "unauthorized access of the Facebook's data."

Response to 16.7

Not applicable as there was no "unauthorized access of the Facebook's data."

Response to 16.8

Responding party does not understand Plaintiff is claiming property damage.

Response to 16.9

Not applicable as there was no "unauthorized access of the Facebook's data."

Response to 17.1

Regarding Request No. 1, Responding Party states he does not have a FACEBOOK individual member ID.

19 20

Regarding Request No. 2, Responding Party visited FACEBOOK's website only in his capacity as a member of ConnectU. See ConnectU's Response to Request No. 2 and its Response to Interrogatory No. 17.1 as it concerns Request For Admissions, No. 2.

21 22

Regarding Request No. 3, Responding Party visited FACEBOOK's website only in his capacity as a member of ConnectU. See ConnectU's Response to Request No. 3 and its Response to Interrogatory No. 17.1 as it concerns Request For Admissions, No. 3.

23

Regarding Request No. 4, Responding Party visited FACEBOOK's website only in his capacity as a member of ConnectU. See ConnectU's Response to Request No. 4.

24 25

Regarding Request No. 5, Responding Party visited FACEBOOK's website only in his capacity as a member of ConnectU. Sec ConnectU's Response to Request No. 5.

26 27

Regarding Request No. 6, Responding Party visited FACEBOOK's website only in his capacity as a member of ConnectU. See ConnectU's Response to Request No. 6 and its Response to Interrogatory No. 17.1 as it concerns Request For Admissions, No. 6.

Regarding Request No. 7, Responding Party visited FACEBOOK's website only in his capacity as a member of ConnectU. See ConnectU's Response to Request No. 7.

Regarding Request No. 8, Responding Party activities regarding FACEBOOK's website were done only in his capacity as a member of ConnectU. See ConnectU's Response to Request No. 8 and its Response to Interrogatory No. 17.1 as it concerns Request For Admissions, No. 8.

Regarding Request No. 9, Responding Party activities regarding FACEBOOK's website were done only in his capacity as a member of ConnectU. See ConnectU's Response to Request No. 9 and its Response to Interrogatory No. 17.1 as it concerns Request For Admissions, No. 9.

Regarding Request No. 10, Responding Party activities regarding FACEBOOK's website were done only in his capacity as a member of ConnectU. See ConnectU's Response to Request No. 10 and its Response to Interrogatory No. 17.1 as it concerns Request For Admissions, No. 10.

Regarding Request No. 11, Responding Party activities regarding FACEBOOK's website were done only in his capacity as a member of ConnectU. See ConnectU's Response to Request No. 11 and its Response to Interrogatory No. 17.1 as it concerns Request For Admissions, No. 11.

Regarding Request No. 12, Responding Party activities regarding FACEBOOK's website were done only in his capacity as a member of ConnectU. See ConnectU's Response to Request No. 12 and its Response to Interrogatory No. 17.1 as it concerns Request For Admissions, No. 12.

Regarding Request No. 13, Responding Party activities regarding FACEBOOK's website were done only in his capacity as a member of ConnectU. See ConnectU's Response to Request No. 13 and its Response to Interrogatory No. 17.1 as it concerns Request For Admissions, No. 13.

Regarding Request No. 14, Responding Party activities regarding FACEBOOK's website were done only in his capacity as a member of ConnectU. See ConnectU's Response to Request No. 14 and its Response to Interrogatory No. 17.1 as it concerns Request For Admissions, No. 14.

Regarding Request No. 15, Responding Party visited FACEBOOK's website only in his capacity as a member of ConnectU. See ConnectU's Response to Request No. 15 and its Response to Interrogatory No. 17.1 as it concerns Request For Admissions, No. 15.

Regarding Request No. 16, Responding Party visited FACEBOOK's website only in his capacity as a member of ConnectU. See ConnectU's Response to Request No. 16.

Regarding Request No. 17, Responding Party visited FACEBOOK's website only in his capacity as a member of ConnectU. See ConnectU's Response to Request No. 17 and its Response to Interrogatory No. 17.1 as it concerns Request For Admissions, No. 17.

Regarding Request No. 18, Responding Party visited FACEBOOK's website only in his capacity as a member of ConnectU. See ConnectU's Response to Request No. 18 and its Response to Interrogatory No. 17.1 as it concerns Request For Admissions, No. 18.

Regarding Request No. 19, Responding Party visited FACEBOOK's website only in his capacity as a member of ConnectU. See ConnectU's Response to Request No. 19 and its Response to Interrogatory No. 17.1 as it concerns Request For Admissions, No. 19.

Regarding Request No. 20, Responding Party visited FACEBOOK's website only in his capacity as a member of ConnectU. See ConnectU's Response to Request No. 20 and its Response to Interrogatory No. 17.1 as it concerns Request For Admissions, No. 20.

28

23

24

25

26

Regarding Request No. 21, Responding Party visited FACEBOOK's website only in his 1 capacity as a member of ConnectU. See ConnectU's Response to Request No. 21 and its Response to Interrogatory No. 17.1 as it concerns Request For Admissions, No. 21. 2 Regarding Request No. 22, Responding Party activities regarding FACEBOOK's website 3 were done only in his capacity as a member of ConnectU. See ConnectU's Response to Request No. 4 22. Regarding Request No. 23, Responding Party activities regarding FACEBOOK's website 5 were done only in his capacity as a member of ConnectU. See ConnectU's Response to Request No. 23 and its Response to Interrogatory No. 17.1 as it concerns Request For Admissions, No. 23. 6 Regarding Request No. 24, Responding Party activities regarding FACEBOOK's website 7 were done only in his capacity as a member of ConnectU. See ConnectU's Response to Request No. 24 and its Response to Interrogatory No. 17.1 as it concerns Request For Admissions, No. 24. 8 Regarding Request No. 25, Responding Party activities regarding FACEBOOK's website 9 were done only in his capacity as a member of ConnectU. See ConnectU's Response to Request No. 25 and its Response to Interrogatory No. 17.1 as it concerns Request For Admissions, No. 25. 10 11 Response to 50.3 12 Responding party does not understand that there is an agreement alleged in the Plaintiff's 13 complaint. 14 Response to 50.4 15 Responding party does not understand that there is an agreement alleged in the Plaintiff's complaint. 16 Response to 50.5 17 Responding party does not understand that there is an agreement alleged in the Plaintiff's 18 complaint. 19 Response to 50.6 20 Responding party does not understand that there is an agreement alleged in the Plaintiff's complaint. 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

TYLER WINKLEVOSS, under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California, states as follows:

- 1. That he is one of the Defendants in the above-entitled action;
- 2. That he has read the foregoing RESPONSE OF TYLER WINKLEVOSS TO FORM INTERROGATORIES and knows the contents thereof, and that the same is true of his own knowledge, save and except as to the matters which are therein stated on his information or belief, and as to those matters, he believes it to be true.

Executed on the 30 day of October, 2005, at 7 ! 25 PM.

Agaz Ulnklenes

Tyler Winklevoss